Attendees:
Rick Douds (GADOT), Darryl Johnson (GADOT), Brad Young (OHDOT), Merrill Zwanka (SCDOT), Rick Carpenito (MADOT), Kathryn Malusky (AASHTO), Mark Nelson (Nelson Testing), Bill Real (NH), Richard Mulcahy (MADOT), Brian Korschgen (AASHTO), Wendy Henry (Quikrete), James Parry (WIDOT), Scott Wutzke (ND), J. Owens (ALDOT), Bill Goss (NYDOT), Grant Kao (CTS Cement)

Brief update on current lab test cycle
Nelson testing received almost all samples to this point. Work should be completed by March.

Brief update on current field test cycle
Field installation occurred on Sunday (10/26). 9 products were installed for 7 manufacturers. 2013 readings were taken on Sunday as well and data should be uploaded soon.

Work Plan Discussion
Intent is to focus on major topics needing discussion. Changes and comments that may not need discussion have been sent to you for your review. The work plan will be proofread prior to the ballot for punctuation, indentation, and other formatting issues.

Random sampling by State DOTs, Section 6
The current procedure of coordinating between state reps and manufacturer reps for sampling is difficult. The intent of changing the procedure is to have the states decide where the product is sent from and make it easier on everyone. Several states would prefer if the product comes from the manufacturer and not a distributor. Possibly some type of certification letter stating that the product was randomly sampled from standard production may be required (sample should be composed of at least 2 different lots/production dates).

Withdrawal policy, Section 13.2
The assumption now is that products that are withdrawn are because of failures. Many states are ok with manufacturers resubmitting a withdrawn product the following year. Should data already released be visible after withdraw? States are in favor of seeing
data already in Datamine after a product has been withdrawn. This can be setup in Datamine 3.0.

Manufacturers do have the ability to contest the results via a retest as additional material is on hand at the facility.

**Retest frequency, Paragraph 1.4 and Section 14**

Retest every 5 years with the field testing not required. If there is no resubmittal after 5 years, the data would be removed from Datamine. A manufacturer can resubmit a product after 5 years and not have the field testing performed again.

**Other issues needing discussion?**

Do we want manufacturers to tell us thickness to be tested as per C1583? This thickness should be reported in Datamine. We can possibly add a data field to the spreadsheet for this value?

Literature provided by manufacturer needs to include maximum water ratio and extended aggregate ratios in the application process.