Panel Officials Present: Derrick Castle, Chairperson (KY DOT)  
Tuan Tran (LA), Celina Sumrall (MS), Wayne Fleming (VA), Greg Girard (Sherwin Williams)  
Bill Corbett (KTA-Tator), Jim McGraw (MN)  
Dave Kuniega (PA), Greg Girard (SW), Paul Vinik (FL)

Liaisons Present: Greta Smith, Claire Kim, Henry Lacinak, Keith Platte

TC Update Issues and Concerns

Membership Status

(1) Recognition of added members; Celina Sumrall (MS), Wayne Fleming (VA), and Paul Vinik (FL), Tuan Tran (LA), Ivan Silbernagel (OR)  
(2) NTPEP website updated  
(3) Ivan Sibernagel has resigned his position as Vice Chair.  
will remain on the TC.  Add to membership roster.  Derrick asked for nominations for Vice-Chair.  
(4) Vice Chair vacancy Celina Sumrall (MS) volunteered to fill the position.  
(5) Illinois DOT Kelly Morris - runs the testing lab for Illinois DOT, very active laboratory - should contact for membership on committee.

Report Issues and Concerns

See DataMine

Testing Issues and Concerns
1. Current Cycle

(1) 9 - 2008 systems, 5 in test, 4 pending application
(2) 5 - 2007 systems, 4 under manufacturers review, 1 in test
(3) Working with MFG to determine cause for delay in shipping samples to lab for evaluation (2008 systems)

2. 5-Year Recertification

(1) Letters for released systems submitted in 2002 and 2003 (12 systems) sent to 6 manufacturers.
(2) 1 system submitted for testing; Analytical - Density, Viscosity, Weight Solids, Pigment, Dry Time, and IR's
(3) Need revision to DataMine module to hold data and link submissions. Until DataMine is revised, Data will be issued to the Lead State (KY) in hardcopy format with electronic copy on CD. Data will be sent to manufacturers for review and release. Released data will be available by request through the Lead State and AASHTO.
(4) Need revisions so that submissions of 5 year retest can be linked to original samples. Mergers of companies MFG both with systems in program, one wishes to relabel a system, without retest. Data is being submitted by electronic file and paper file to lead state. NTPEP will need to provide method for states to access data. Written requests for reports should be made through Derrick and report will be sent to State Agencies either through listserve or individual dissemination.
(5) Decision is that NTPEP will recognize the relabel agreement - there will be letter to MFG indicating they can relabel partner company product with verification of merger and certify there is no formulation changes.
(6) State concern expressed regarding raw material supplies and verification of manufacture of products from different plant locations. Need to verify that all mfg
locations are following same formulation and verification of product.

(7) Manufacturer (wishing to relabel) will have to submit wet samples with new label, data sheet and NTPEP will run analytical evaluation as if 5 year recertification. Will need to link to original submission of previously submitted system.

(8) Need guidance document for interpretation of results and comparison of results.

(9) Letters for 2004 systems will go to manufacturers when new contract is in place.

3. IR issues - number of states are concerned with evaluation of spectra for products delivered as compared to original data collected for the testing program. Do the spectra match? NCHRP 20-7 Study to assess the comparative evaluation methods and reproducibility. Are the states online interested in participating in the study? Will utilize various methods for analysis and compare spectra for ease of reproduction and evaluation for match. Participation: KTA - Voluntary Basis, MN - Yes, MS - New IR, will participate compare results, PA - will participate - Kuniega to poll rest of NEPCOAT states for participation as well, LA - Yes, FL - Yes, VA - Yes, SW - Yes, Carboline - Yes, ICI - Yes

**Work Plan Issues and Concerns**

1. Last updated

(1) May 2008 - published

(2) Additional revisions December 2008 in preparation for rebidding contract Current revisions of the Work Plan and RFP will be forwarded to Celina for review and comment

(3) Work Plan being revised for agreement with R-31 that is currently being balloted. Revisions include Compositional analysis in duplicate and individual components of multi-component products.
(4) Intend to bid contract early in 2009.

2. Withdraws

(1) Current practice - Withdrawn prior to application, status of withdrawn (1 system). Withdrawn after receipt of initial results, status manufacturers review (0 systems) Current practice is satisfactory to the TC: Only 1 system in 2002 falls in this category. Withdrawn after receipt of initial results, status manufacturers review (0 systems). Have not had any systems in this category. There have been systems that remain under MFG review - the data was not released.

(2) Intent for global policy - Discussion: Standard practice followed by TC at this point will continue.

General Comments

1. Data Mine Issues

(1) Intend to revise DataMine module for SSC. Improve navigation and data display Ask for any comments and suggested changes to be e-mailed to DCastle

(2) Core review team: Derrick Castle, Dave Kuniega, Greg Girard

(3) Revision is ongoing. Data display and navigation will be completely revised. There will be an executive summary page so that information is more easily accessed for review. Open to the committee for submission of ideas and issues for revision.

2. Testing Fee

(1) Current 3-coat system $21,500; 2-coat system $19,500; and 1-coat system $17,500

(2) Fees subject to change with bidding of contract
(3) Prices are inclusive of slip and creep - test costs are removed for slip and creep when mfg elects not to have the test performed. Work plan currently states that report for Compliance with Slip 'B' - should this be revised for simply reporting the data of the slip/creep test. Will revise work plan and petition SOM 4C for revision to R-31 to provide for report of data.

3. Contracts

(1) Finalizing request for proposal (RFP)
(2) Intend to attach revised Work Plan
(3) Will need TC to review proposals
(4) Site visit for primary candidate lab based on proposal review
(5) A subset of the TC will need to review the lab proposals. There may be a need to perform site visit of the candidate laboratories to review testing procedures and SOPs. It is preferable to have a single lead laboratory for performance of testing (exception is for outdoor exposure). CCC&L, KTA are capable of running slip and creep testing, third laboratory is not. There may be more than one contract coordinated through AASHTO. Not certain if there will be only one laboratory. Reduce conflict of interest with 3 separate contracts, lab evaluation (analytical and physical), slip-creep and outdoor exposure - with these contracts being coordinated through AASHTO.

4. Annual Meeting Time and Slot

(1) Saving best for last, again.
(2) 2-Hours 3 - 5 Thursday
(3) 1 - 2:45 SEPCOAT
(4) NTPEP Annual Meeting May 4 through May 8
(5) Maintenance and traffic are grouped for the convenience of mfg.
(6) 3 to 5 PM SSC meeting Thursday.
(7) EC will be meeting Industry and TC Chair for discussion - time on Thursday (12 to 1)
(8) Will also have a time set aside for Industry 7 to 7:45 on Thursday to meet privately

5. 20-7 Study
Discussed an overview of the intent to request a round robin style study in collection and interpretation of IR spectra. Each state represented as well as the contract lab and three coatings manufacturers expressed interest in participating. MN, MS, LA, FL, VA, PA, KTA, SW, ICI, Carboline