1. **Product Name Changes**

   The following procedure is being developed to allow a window of opportunity for a manufacturer to change a product name and at the same time, minimize confusion with the host state and states using the test data. This proposed change is based on the similar allowances by the Sign Sheeting panel and will be presented at the national meeting in Madison.

   Pavement Marking Material (PMM) numbers that are assigned to a Manufacturer’s product will not change for the life of the test. The Product Name that the manufacturer gives the product at the time of application will be allowed to change until the interim report (contains first year data) is issued to the vendors for review. Once this interim report is submitted to the vendor for review no changes to the product name will be allowed.

   If a manufacturer decides to make a product name change it will be the manufacturer’s responsibility to notify the host state of such request. The host state will make the required record changes and also notify the lab(s) of the product name change.

2. **Control Samples**

   The use of a control sample was a request by the industry to allow a more uniform comparison between test decks. Different decks have different conditions and the same deck in different years will have different conditions, this control sample will be a baseline to help interpret the test results.

   The use of a control sample will be investigated on the 2008 Pennsylvania test deck with the focus control material being a tape product. The tape product may be the most reproducible control sample that can be applied for deck to deck.

3. **State Participation in Pavement Marking Material Data Provided by NTPEP**

   There continues to be much interest in the pavement marking data that is collected every year but reluctance by states to use the data as part of their material specifications. In order to find a the root cause of this problem the pavement marking officers will poll the panel members first to see if there is a common reason for this happening. Depending on the result
of this initial poll, it will likely be broadened to the Madison, WI meeting attendees. This subject will be part of the national meeting agenda.

4. **DataMine Issues**
   Given the growing demands on the DataMine, the panels are being required to take a more active roll in the data management. The quality of the data being placed in Data Mine is being compromised and the panel will need to come up with a plan to prevent this from happening. How this is exactly going to be resolved will be discussed at the national meeting in Madison, WI. Dave will talk with existing host states to investigate core issues before the 2008 NTPEP Meeting.

   This may be a separate meeting with the panel or the last item on the states meeting agenda.

Conference call ended at 10:30 a.m.