Minutes

Conference call started at 1:30 p.m.

1. Attendees (Roll Call)

Joe Dorsey (AASHTO)      Gil Rushton (MDSHA)
Dave Kuniega (PennDOT) – Chair    Sharon Zanetti (MDSHA)
Meredith McDiarmid (NC DOT) - Vice Chair    Teresa Custer (MDSHA)
Tobey Reynolds (NH DOT) - Secretary    Josh Charnosky (PennDOT)
David Villani (Ennis Paint Inc.)    Derrick Castle (Kenn TC)
Ned Schmitt (Wis DOT)
Li Zhang (Miss St.)

2. Revised Work Plan Ballot

In Section 2 of the Pavement Marking Materials webpage on the NTPEP website
(http://www.ntpep.org/ContentManagement/PageBody.asp?PAGE_ID=16) the topic
listed as Proposed Specification for Field Evaluations of Pavement Marking Materials,
needs to be updated. The information under the proposed specifications is how test deck
facilitators are collecting and reporting data for use in DataMine. The panel agreed to
update the Project Work Plan.

As of February, Proposed Specification for Field Evaluations of Pavement Marking Materials
is now the project work for pavement marking evaluation. The previous
document has been removed. Revisions on the current work plan are underway to update
that document.

3. NTPEP Web Issues

Due to Pavement Marking Materials reports no longer being available from the NTPEP
website, the DataMine needs to contain information about the test deck that effect the
performance of the material (i.e. AADT and snowfall).

Data Management
Dave Kuniega talked about missing data (installation and inspection data) within
DataMine PMM test deck data management. He introduced Teresa Custer, and said she
had worked extensively within DataMine last year collecting data for Maryland. She had
made a list of suggestions for improvements. Kuniega asked Teresa to email these suggestions to him, so he could distribute them to the host states.

*The question was posed, how do we manage the data for quality control and consistency?*

- Have data sent to other test deck state(s) for review.

- Allow manufactures to review data after lead state has done their own review and formally sign off on the results prior to publishing, with a time component.

- Have a central clearing house for all data. This entity would review the data for consistency and completeness prior to releasing it for use.

- It was decided that a procedure for review needs to be put into place, but for now, each lead state will continue to review the data.

4. **Best Practice for Measuring Performance Parameters on Decks when Marking is Non-Existent**
   There is no guidance in place for taking readings when a marking is non-existent.

   **Retroreflectivity and Color**
   Best management says to take the retro readings at the center of the left wheel path and in the skip line area and for color at the right edge of the transverse line. Occasionally when doing the measurement the marking is non-existent. Do you take a reading anyway and use it in the average or do you move to the next nearest spot to take a reading. General consensus was you take the reading where it was intended, however a base value may be considered due to aggregate and material variations from deck to deck.

5. **Timeline & Responsibilities for Test Deck Announcement and Data Review**
   In 2008 Pennsylvania will be hosting a Test Deck and Industry notification dates were discussed. Dave Villani said industry could generally respond within 30 days as they are forecasting which products to test on up coming test decks.

   - Joe Dorsey will be sending out industry letters in February, which will enable Pennsylvania to have material applications by the end of April. This will allow enough time for Pennsylvania to plan the activities of Deck placement.

   - Applications shall be forwarded to Dave Kuniega or Meredith McDiarmid for review and to be sure the application is matches the manufactures intended goal.

6. **New Product Procedure Development**
   This was discussed at the 2007 Nation Meeting and the procedure is contained in those minutes. This procedure should be added to the work plan.
7. **State Participation with NTPEP PMM Data**
   PMM panel will be polled to see how they specifically use the NTPEP data in their state or if they don’t, why?

   Kuniega, McDiarmid and Reynolds to conference on questions to put in the

8. **Wet Retro Testing Specifics**
   Currently only wet recovery is done on the test decks. This information needs to be added to DataMine.

9. **Future Conference Call**
   Next annual meeting is scheduled for Madison, WS for April 27 to May 2. Another conference call will be scheduled sometime in February.

Conference call ended at 3:30 p.m.