Pavement Marking Materials
Technical Committees Conference Call
September 18th, 2014

Attendees: Dave Kuniega (PennDOT), Brian Korschgen (AASHTO), Tobey Reynolds (NHDOT), Nikita Reed (FLDOT), Wayne Fleming (VDOT), Kathryn Malusky (AASHTO), Paul Vinik (FLDOT)

1. Wet Recovery vs. Wet Continuous
   We are currently using Wet Recovery for the NTPEP program for determining retro reflectivity. There was some discussion about using wet continuous at the annual meeting. This method is relatively new and requires a retro box and some controlled rainfall. MNDOT did a trial run on Wet Continuous on their test deck in 2013. MDOT believes that there is a lot of variation and the equipment may be hard to come by. They recommend continuing to use Wet Recovery for the time being. We should continue to follow up on Wet Continuous with hopes of using this method once it is more proven.

2. Friction Testing
   We are trying to gauge interest in friction test data. How would states use this data? The initial friction would be easy to get but how do you judge wear over time? There is a minimum friction requirement for pavement markings, but a relationship between wear and friction would need to be determined. We should develop a survey to send the states to gauge interest in friction testing (initial testing and wear over time).

3. Datamine 3.0
   PMM needs to review and revise data entry spreadsheet. This would include lab and field testing data entry.
   PMM needs to look at current EApp and make any desired changed.
   We need to look at data export as well. Should it look similar to data entry spreadsheet? We need to look at Metadata (search parameters). Can you use the metadata results and perform an advanced search on the filtered results. We may ask for comments from TC regarding help with Datamine 3.0 issues.

4. Retest Cycle
   Should PMM include a retest cycle as it doesn’t currently have one? This isn’t an issue with tapes as manufacturers are constantly changing the formulas. The reason for retest cycles is that states may not trust manufacturers to maintain formulas for products that are approved. Florida is wondering if a retest cycle may drive manufacturers out. Should we poll states for retest cycles?