1) Attendance - Call to Order and Introductions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Agency Name</th>
<th>Designation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gallistel, Allen</td>
<td>Minnesota Department of Transportation</td>
<td>Chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glick, Vince</td>
<td>AASHTO</td>
<td>Liaison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katheryn Malusky</td>
<td>AASHTO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armstead, William Chance</td>
<td>Alabama Department of Transportation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davis, Jason</td>
<td>Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bennett, Andy R</td>
<td>Michigan Department of Transportation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parkison, Lowell</td>
<td>Crafco Pavement Preservation Products</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guymon, Mike</td>
<td>Maxwell Products, Inc.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slaven, Aaron</td>
<td>Right Pointe</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yousefi, Saleh</td>
<td>Crafco</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banks, Rufus</td>
<td>New York State Department of Transportation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2) Membership – Scott Wutzke (ND DOT) requests addition to the committee

3) Deck Status
   a) 2016 – Texas Crack Sealant Deck
      i) Year 3 data collected, will review and release to manufacturers once uploaded
   b) 2017
      i) Lab Re-tests – about half complete
      ii) JS Field Testing - n/a
      iii) CS Field Testing – year 1 evaluation completed, in process of uploading data and photos, lab data almost complete
   c) 2019
      i) Call for Host State

4) Data Mine Updates
   a) Action Item: Module change to include the “re-evaluation” designators in the eApp and Public data navigation Design Document In-Progress – End of first week April, Draft to Allen for review
   b) Submission Cycles – propose separate cycles for field eval (spring) and re-eval (fall)
      i) May not be needed depending on changes made to DM module Design Document from above.

5) Work Plan Changes
   a) Should a category of experimental be added which has manufacturer recommended lab tests or allow this under the “other” category? (first addressed during last conf. call)
      i) Experimental Category?
         (1) Should NTPEP test according to the intended application of the manufacturer with a disclaimer included on Lab Evaluation about not following the work plan?
Suggestion from Andy Bennett that APEL be used to determine a lab testing protocol.

**ii) Design Document In-Progress**

(1) Choosing “Other” could return a test data tab with and Additional Document section only under the Test Data Tab with a note saying “NTPEP Field Evaluation Only. Laboratory Test Data is provided by the manufacturer and is not according to work plan. The manufacturer suggested lab testing methods and data under Additional Documents section.” instead of using the Work Plan “Other” test methods being done by a NTPEP lab.

b) **TASK GROUP - Mastic Evaluation** – Would require a Work Plan revision  
   i) Andy Bennett (MDOT)  
   ii) Allen Gallistel (MnDOT)  
   iii) Aaron Slaven (Right Pointe)  
   iv) Saleh Yousefi (Crafco)  
   v) Mike Guymon (Maxwell Products)

Meeting notice will be sent to task group volunteers for some time in April.

6) **Industry Concerns**
   a) **Right Pointe** – Encourages exploring installation in the New England area  
   b) **Other?**  
      i) Andy Bennett – What is happening with Sealant Grade spec adoption for work plan?  
         – No traction has been seen / changes planned, difficulty is adoption of work plan changes to include SG tests when industry and states not using.

7) **Open Discussion**

   - **Items for Future Consideration**  
     o SG (sealant grade) – tests are provisional in AASHTO, specs being developed, no new information has been received on implementation  
     o Mastic Evaluation – specs being developed in ASTM, committee ballot held, main ballot in progress now (closes March 29, 2019)