ERB Conference Call  
October 28, 2014  
11:00 AM Eastern Time  
Minutes

Conference Call Attendees:
- Paul Vinik - FDOT
- Dave Kuniega – PennDOT
- Rick Douds – GA DOT
- Dave Meggers - KDOT
- Katheryn Malusky - AASHTO
- Henry Lacinak – AASHTO

Purpose of Conference Call
Review ERB work plan and website needs in order to implement submittal requests from manufacturers.

Paul Vinik:
- Opened conference call and recorded attendees

Katheryn Malusky:
- Indicated delay of manufacturers in submitting ERB products may be due to the DataMine system not being up and ready to receive these materials. ePEF needs to be completed and sent to i-Engineering.
- Expect to notify manufacturers they can submit ERB products by end of year.

Paul Vinik:
- Asked for help from other states to require NTPEP testing. No response yet from industry.
- K. Malusky had questions from Oregon manufacturer wanting to get on FL approved list. Referred manufacturer to Paul Vinik.

Rick Douds:
- After one year of being grandfathered, Georgia plans to require manufacturers to go to NTPEP for testing and retesting.

Dave Kuniega:
- Also plans to implement 1 year grandfathering for PennDOT.
- Will use for ASTM C881 Types I, II and III epoxies, and possibly with others which may be problematic.

Dave Meggers:
- Also indicated a year or two before requiring manufacturers to go to NTPEP. Will attempt to have included in new specification book for Kansas.
Henry Lacinak:
- ERB work plan states testing required every 3 years. How is this enforced? Remained published in work report?

Katheryn Malusky:
- Expiration date – Timeline will be established in new DataMine system.

Rick Douds:
- How can you require retesting? In accordance with NTPEP work plan or by NTPEP requirements?
- Is this up to individual states?

Paul Vinik:
- TC to consider pulling data if not being submitted for retesting after 3 years.

Dave Kuniega:
- Can you remove from QPL if not actively tested under NTPEP? Rick Douds thinks this might cause internal problems.

Henry Lacinak:
- Some states do continuing testing. If not specifically stated within states specifications, retesting may not be enforced if only stated within the NTPEP work plan. How will teeth be put into the requirement? Will old data be available?
- Some states may wish to use old data to populate approved lists.

Katheryn Malusky:
- Lead state should have copy of all old data.

Rick Douds:
- Requirement for retesting may need to be placed into each individual state specification.

Paul Vinik:
- Will implement 3 year full testing requirement within ERB work plan and see where this goes.

Henry Lacinak:
- ePEF – use drop down boxes to standardize data entry?
- Grade or class – reference AASHTO or ASTM standard? Yes, this will be included.
- This will be worked out between Henry & Paul.
- ASTM standards in work plan – do we put years in standard. Paul Vinik prefers to default to standard policy.
- Discontinued – year will always be included.

Dave Kuniega:
- Lack of inertia – need states to commit
• Survey done recently?
  Paul - Not in quite a while.

Paul Vinik:
• The desire to develop the ERB work plan started with big dig.
  C881 epoxy used by most states.

Dave Kuniega:
• Reassess if we do not get submittals within first quarter.
• General topic with retest cycle. If states adopt retest, then manufacturers will be coming back to NTPEP if they are in danger of losing approval.

Katheryn Malusky:
• Each TC should determine whether to allow or not allow for reevaluation.

Henry Lacinak:
• Solicit examples from other states to send out. Paul sent out example email.
• Some TCs have been approached by manufacturers to set retest period in defense of some states requiring an unreasonable retesting time. May be evolving from a suggested retesting time to a mandated retesting time.
• Sometimes a state may wish to see old data for comparison purposes.

Paul Vinik:
• Wording in work plan for retesting will be revised and sent to TC.

Henry Lacinak:
• If survey is sent out requesting state requirements for NTPEP testing or retesting of ERB products, request example wording verifying this requirement.

Dave Meggers and Rick Douds:
• Kansas and Georgia had commits to make changes to require NTPEP testing and retesting of ERB by implementing a sundown date.