Call Participants:
Tracy Stegmaier (Chair/AL), Brennan Roney (Vice Chair/GA), Katheryn Malusky (AASHTO), Maribel Wong (AASHTO), Stephanie Huang (AZ), Brad Rotherham (IL), Melissa Serio (IA), Doug Gesso (KY), Lori Belz (MN), Gary Mailhot (NH), John Rublein (WI), Kurt Kelsey (American Excelsior), Kelli Davis (DDD Erosion Control), Diane Hitt (East Coast Erosion/ECTC), Laurie Honningford (ECTC), Ben Ruzowicz (GA S&WCC), Michael Robeson (Profile Products), Jill Pack (Tensar/NAG), Chad Lipscomb (Western Excelsior), J. P. Johns (Woolpert)

Agenda:
1. Update - HECP IR Scan
   • No progress since the annual meeting due to staff shortage. Recent new hire should allow time to work on this task.

2. Update - DataMine 3.0 (Katheryn)
   • DataMine 3.0 is still being worked on and Alpha testing being done by iEngineering. Beta Testing for all functions will begin about Nov. 1st, 2016. The plan is for the DataMine 3.0 to be released and operational by Jan. 2017.

3. Update - SRD Pilot Project (Katheryn)
   • The SRD Project has been completed by TRI and the results have been turned over to J. P. Johns (consultant). TTI is scheduled to be complete testing in two weeks. By mid-November J. P. Johns should have all the info to compile a first draft report for review. Review is planned for Jan. 2017. **Volunteers for final report review are:**
     - John Rublein (WI)
     - Tracy Stegmaier (AL)
     - Brennan Roney (GA)

4. Update - ECP Work Plan
   • **Status:** Combining Evaluation Work Plan and Audit Work Plan.
   • **Goal:** Provide finalized document to industry by mid-November 2016.
   • TC will vote by email on any further major revisions/recommendations.
     The old Evaluation Work Plan plus the Audit Work Plan will be combined into one work plan by mid November 2016. The combined work plan will be reviewed ASAP. Maribel has already sent out the responses to the technical committee from the initial ballot of the Audit Work Plan.

5. Update - Large Scale Report Equations
   • **Action Item:** Review 2008 paper forwarded by TRI explaining slope calculations (except “scaling”).
     Tracy Stegmaier to review. Tracy will share this paper with Kurt Kelsey for review.
   • **Action Item:** Begin manufacturer review of a large scale channel report.
     Channel report is being reviewed by Chad Lipscomb. He will be sending his comments to Tracy. Tracy will forward questions through AASHTO to TRI. The TC will review resulting information/findings prior to incorporation into the large-scale report format.
6. Discussion - Large Scale Report Revisions
   - Need clarification in the reports of the upper limits of channel testing at TRI. Response: “The limits of our channels are controlled by the amount of water in the upstream pond. This varies a bit seasonally, but in general our maximum flows range from about 40 cfs in dry periods to 50 cfs in wet periods. With the vegetated channel tests, since we must test at 6 weeks and 1 year, we have to test with the pond conditions that exist at that specific time.”
   - New ECTC classifications for RECP (TRMs) in channels. Added 12 lb/sf and 14 lb/sf categories, 5D and 5E respectively. These are draft categories currently out for ballot. Manufacturers have been reporting performance that would meet these categories.
   - **Action Item:** Add to Datamine 3.0 product types.
   - **Action Item:** Tracy/AASHTO will discuss further with Joel Sprague regarding the limitations at their facility. It needs to be determined if these higher shear stress levels can be consistently reached with enough linear flow area in their current test flumes with the current vegetation type. The shear stress limitations are due to lab setup, not the test method. Kurt K. indicated that there are other labs capable of consistently achieving higher shear stresses.
   - **Action Item:** Tracy S. requested that committee members send her a list of labs that can test to higher levels.
   - **Action Item:** The limitations of the lab need to be identified so the report formatting can be modified. The committee does not want to have misleading reports by showing testing stopped at different maximum levels when the product’s limitation is not achieved (i.e. 10+ psf versus 12+ psf, both indicate that the lab was unable to reach 0.5” of soil loss during the test). 6460 specifies the shape and size of the channel, but allows some variation. It was noted that extrapolation of data is currently not allowed and deemed inappropriate for determining theoretical product limitations.

7. Future Action Items:
   - ECP User Guide – Provide more online resources linking to states’ information that use NTPEP. Provide “how to” for transitioning to NTPEP. Tracy asks for State DOTs to please contribute examples on how they have transitioned to NTPEP.
   - Gather more large scale data to look for correlation with index values for a potential reduction in performance testing frequency.
   - Research alternate grass species and possible impacts for large scale channel testing.

8. Annual Meeting Update
   - **Sunday, March 12th through Thursday, March 16th, 2017**
   - Seaport Hotel & World Trade Center, Boston, Massachusetts

   **NEXT CALL – Tuesday, January 10, 2017, 12:30 pm ET**