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1) 10:30AM-10:35AM: Call to Order and Introductions

2) 10:35AM-10:40AM: Review of Current Technical Committee Members
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4) 10:50AM-11:00AM: Review of Outstanding Action Items

5) 11:00AM-11:15AM: Update-Program Status
   1. Yearly Program with Audit and Evaluation
2. Auditors steps through an audit
   a. Start by holding a meeting for introductions and overview
   b. AASHTO M251 – some manufacturing portions covered in there
   c. Two key components being looked at for manufacturing:
      i. Traceability of materials
      ii. Inspection steps taken
      1. What benchmarks during the manufacturing process will include the inspection
      2. Reporting on the inspections
      3. Training records of the individuals performing the inspections
   d. Corrective actions are covered at the final meeting of the audit
3. No D2S limit
4. Dave Kuneiga (PennDOT) – Question
   a. Once a problem is found, it is understood the state follow-up is in the state’s hands
      i. Is it understood that states are looking to this program as a national program, and when an issue is found and corrective action is required, states cannot send their own responder to sample and test?
      1. Is there any way to facilitate States and NTPEP to carry out verification sampling and testing when issues are found?
5. John Grieco (MassDOT)/Robert Sarcinella (NTPEP) – If multiple DOTs request the resample for testing, the results are either cleared for sharing the data across the TC or corrective action is administered when another failure is conceived
6. Jason Davis (LADOT) – Following the test procedure during inspection and how lot sizes are chosen is a key element to the outcome of what data is communicated across all lots.
7. Committee is considering a peel test
   a. ILDOT and PennDOT got together to consolidate the tests and these will be delivered for TC review prior to the next conference call and will be discussed at the next conference call.
8. 3 Audits scheduled this year
   a. Consensus to begin audits and not allow the quantity of audit applications reflect the need to start
9. Confirmed Requiring 2017
   a. LADOT
   b. TNDOT
   c. WisconsinDOT
   d. MontanaDOT
   e. Maine, Illinois, North Carolina, and Alabama
10. Looking to Require NTPEP 2018
a. South Carolina, Massachusetts, Colorado, Iowa, and Texas

11. Considering Requiring NTPEP In Future
   a. Pennsylvania, Oklahoma, Missouri, Ohio, Indiana, Rhode Island, West Virginia, New York, and

6) 11:15AM-11:30AM: Industry Concerns

7) 11:30AM-11:45AM: Open Discussion
   a) Looking for a lab that can meet all the requirements of M251
      i) Only one that can currently meet the reqs is Phoenix lab?

8) 11:45AM-12:00PM: Review of Action Items for 2017
   1. Add Bruce with PennDOT to the committee
   2. Is it understood that states are looking to this program as a national program, and when an issue is found and corrective action is required, states cannot send their own responder to sample and test?
      a. Is there any way to facilitate States and NTPEP to carry out verification sampling and testing when issues are found?
   3. Work Plan Addition – PEEL TESTING
      a. ILDOT and PennDOT got together to consolidate the tests and these will be delivered for TC review during the next conference call.