Concrete Admixtures/Concrete Curing Compounds
Quarterly Conference Call Agenda
Wednesday, January 30th, 2019

1. Attendance/ Introductions
   Jason Krogman
   Julia AET
   Joe Stilwell
   Melinda Winkelman
   John Buchanan
   Willy AET
   Mark Piechuta
   Paul Sullivan
   Temple and Merrill
   Nate Artman
   Julie Lamberson
   Shawn McCormick
   Anita V
   Scott Wutzke
   Katheryn Malusky
   All
   Jordan Kimmel
   John Blakely
   Jim Parry
   Justin Morris
   Cyler Hayes
   Paul Bushnell
   Wesley Glass KYDOT

2. How to handle renaming issue for CADD products

   Contradicting sections

   For reference, these are two sections from the work plan:
4.4 The manufacturer will be allowed to change the name of a product without re-evaluation, provided documentation is furnished certifying the composition and formulation is the same as the product evaluated by the NTPEP. Such name changes will be documented in the next NTPEP report and no confirmation testing will be performed unless the manufacturer submits the material through the normal process and pays the subsequent fees.

7.2 Level 2 - Uniformity and equivalence testing is an option for products that are not required to undergo Level 1 testing. This evaluation is also required within the five year time frame if the manufacturer requests a name change for the product. The chemical evaluation must indicate the product is the same product (originally submitted for evaluation under Level 1) to remain active on the NTPEP list for a total of five years from completion of initial Level 1 evaluation.

Jason and Julie have discussed, and propose some wording change:

4.4 The manufacturer will be allowed to change the name of a product if no data has not been uploaded into DataMine. If data has been uploaded, without re-evaluation with Level 2 testing (See section 7.2) will be required, provided documentation is furnished certifying the composition and formulation is the same as the product evaluated by the NTPEP. Such name changes will be documented in the next NTPEP report and no confirmation testing will be performed unless the manufacturer submits the material through the normal process and pays the subsequent fees.

Comments are encouraged.
Joe Stilwell – Name Change --- there needs to be both names available to be searched for clarification purposes.
Mark – clarification for before 28 day data.
   “No test results”
   Level two testing to verify that nothing has been changed
Shawn – Affidavit to certify along with equivalency testing

Blakely: Have new name – date of change – old name (pinned to top of list)
Kathy: Better use of NTPEP numbers within States
Julie: Utilize level 2 testing and use new CADD numbers
Encourage less name changes
See if there is ability to link back to an old product.
Kyler AET – CADD number to take on _1 _2
   More consensus – Vince and Kathy to look into

3. Process if a manufacturer wants to submit the same product under two different names. One testing fee and additional report fee for products assigned two different CADD#s?
John Blakely: Two different sets of test data – two testing fees
Shawn: Use additional test report fee because it is the same thing as same dosage different types
Nate: Pay for additional report for second CADD number at submittal but if it is later down the line, Level 2 testing needs to be done

4. Update on freeze/thaw status
   Willy to be ¾ through backlog …

5. Open Discussion on CADD
   Type S testing – ASTM testing to come out soon
   Willy says maybe not this year.
   Internal curing – Will this fall under CADD?
   Willy says SEMs Supplementary Experimental Mixtures
   Doesn’t really belong in Chemical Admixtures

6. Discuss the public access to CCC data due to the concern of a manufacturer
   Public vs Private
   Anita: IR scans need to be private – iEngineering said can’t do one without the other