1) Extra classes – an understanding
   a. Manufacturers submit products with Class A, B, C and FDOT has been performing the
testing without any extra fees.
   b. Should FDOT run at all class or should FDOT just run at the lowest class?
      i. Industry wants to see all three classes so that they can show the DOT they meet
         into each range.
      ii. If we show it passes class A can it be assumed it will pass B and C?
          1. Industry indicated that it would be up to the states if that is acceptable
             but the above statement is not necessarily true.
          2. Paul will do some research into this.
          3. There may need to be a cost increase if more than one class is
             requested. Industry on the call felt this was ok as long as we were
             upfront.

2) Separating work plan into 2 categories:
   a. Adhesives – appropriate C881
   b. Anchors – Appropriate C881 with tensile pull out testing
      i. This will allow for products that are not anchors to be tested for just their
         adhesive properties.

3) Open Forum
   a. No more states have started requiring the program as far as we know. Vermont has
      shown some possible interest. Action Item: Ryan will review Bill’s survey and see if there
      are any states that we should reach out to. Louisiana is currently requiring NTPEP.
      Alaska, Arkansas, Florida, Montana, North Carolina, and Vermont all indicated on the
      survey that NTPEP is allowed in lieu of something else.
   b. Keep submission cycle rolling for 2018 and the submission cycle will be reevaluated
      prior to the 2019 submission cycle.