ARA Committee Conference Call

Date: Tuesday, August 26, 2014  
Time: 2:00 pm (EST)

Agenda:

1. DataMine 3.0 update (Russell) Aaron (Alabama?) Joel or Joe (Illinois)
   IENGINEERING did provide some feedback on the ARA comments submitted to
   them. There will be a webinar on Tuesday, September 2nd to further explain the comments that they are
   looking for. ARA may want to provide more input after the webinar. Richard and Steven will work with the
   ARA committee for comments.

2. New Items (Richard):
   a. MSDS to GHS presentation at next Quarterly Meeting (Nov. 25)
      Chris and Jeff should be able to have a presentation ready for the next meeting concerning this. The GHS
      system will be replacing MSDS by June of 2015. ARA is leaning on industry members to figure out how
      these changes may affect the committee. This meeting (presentation) may need to be moved up in order to
      have the discussion before the 2015 NTPEP work plan changes are due in early November.

      What should actually be presented? What parts of GHS may affect the ARA committee and are there any
      recommendations for changes to the ARA work plan.

   b. Ultrasonic Test Results
      Does the committee want to look for more testing with the ultrasonic? Columns F and G are comparisons
      between TXDOT (G) and ILDOT (F) results running ultrasonic. The data presented was not a split sample
      comparison. Softer binders may have higher weight changes compared to stiffer binders. Extra testing
      needs to be done with running both grades of asphalts in triplicates.

      Are states using 76/22 and 58/22 for Superpave designs? Both grades are being used in the field. Texas
      does not use 58/22 much nor do they use Superpave often. Texas is requesting Superpave mixes of
      different grades with NTPEP funding the shipping. NTPEP should have the funding to pay for the
      shipment of this material.

      Should we be looking at what release agents are being used on pavers and other asphalt installation
      equipment? This will require further discussion